## **Equality & Poverty Impact Assessment 00469 (Version 1)**

SECTION ONE: ESSENTIAL INFORMATION							
Service & Division:	ervice & Division: Corporate & Housing Services			Lead Officer Name	c: Crawford Bell		
	Housing & Commun	iities		Team: Communities			
				Te	l: +447483919745	-447483919745	
				Email: crawford.bell@falkirk.gov.		.uk	
Proposal:	Reddingmuirhead C	ddingmuirhead Community Hall - SPR			: 469		
What is the Proposa	al?	Budget & Other Financial Decision	Policy (New or Change)		HR Policy & Practice	Change to Service Delivery / Service Design	
		Yes		No	No	Yes	
Who does the Propo	osal affect?	Service Users	Members of the Public		Employees	Job Applicants	
		Yes	Yes		No	No	
Other, please specify:							
Identify the main ai	Identify the main aims and projected outcome of this proposal (please add date of each update):						
01/04/2024 Considering closure or alternative delivery model of this community building.							

Printed: 24/01/2024 12:01 Page: 1 of 11

SECTION TWO: FINANCIAL INFORMATION						
For budget changes ONLY please include infor	Benchmark, e.g. Scottish Average					
Current spend on this service (£'0000s)	Total:					
Reduction to this service budget (£'0000s)	Per Annum:					
Increase to this service budget (£'000s) Per Annum:						
If this is a change to a charge or	Current Annual Income Total:					
concession please complete.	Expected Annual Income Total:		Financial savings detailed in the report; Strategic Property Review Update, Falkirk Council, 31st January 2024			
If this is a budget decision, when will the	Start Date:	01/04/2024				
saving be achieved?	End Date (if any):	31/03/2025				

Printed: 24/01/2024 12:01 Page: 2 of 11

SECTION THREE: EVIDENCE	Please include any evidence or relevant information that has influenced the decisions contained in this EPIA. (This could include
	demographic profiles; audits; research; health needs assessments; national guidance or legislative requirements and how this relates to the
	protected characteristic groups.)

# A - Quantitative Evidence This is evidence which is numerical and should include the number people who use the service and the number of people from the protected characteristic groups who might be affected by changes to the service.

No usage survey was provided by the Management Committee of this venue- User Groups and numbers are unknown.

Of 9 survey respondents, 4 expressed they have a Protected Characteristic that disadvantaged them -Age, Disability, and one person identified 3 Characteristics - Sex, Gender and Marital Status.

## B - Qualitative Evidence

This is data which describes the effect or impact of a change on a group of people, e.g. some information provided as part of performance reporting.

#### Social - case studies; personal / group feedback / other

Unknown - comments below are from those identifying as having Protected Characteristic but do not identify impact of decision.

- As a member of the Reddingmuirhead Halls Committee we have been in discussion since 2016 about transfer of hall to the halls committee for its financial running. As a committee who are all volunteers, we undertake the management of the hall with little or no input from the council. Since 2016 we have asked the council to provide costs for the running of the hall. You cannot take on a commitment without knowing what commitment is. We only receive some figures on 10th Feb this year and only some items included.
- I and the rest of the population use ALL of the above buildings whether for personal use or being "prescribed" the use of sports centres for health reasons.

  Just where are all the groups who currently PAY for the use of the buildings supposed to go? On the street!
- · This hall hosts yoga and community events vital for health and well-being.

Best Judgement:	
Has best judgement been used in place of data/research/evidence?	No
Who provided the best judgement and what was this based on?	
What gaps in data / information were identified?	
Is further research necessary?	Yes / No
If NO, please state why.	

Printed: 24/01/2024 12:01 Page: 3 of 11

SECTION FOUR: ENGAGEMENT Engagemen	t with individua	ls or organisations affected by the policy or proposal must take place	
Has the proposal / policy / project been subject to engagement or consultation with service users taking into account their protected characteristics and socio-economic status?	Yes		
If YES, please state who was engagement with.	<ul> <li>9 Respondents in relation to Reddinmuirhead Hall identifying as users and local affected residents and committee members.</li> <li>A series of public events were held in libraries and schools across Falkirk during January 2023 and one online event and an event in Braes Highschool event 10th January 2023 7-9pm attended by members of this community.</li> <li>Ongoing support to explore a CAT throughout 2023</li> </ul>		
If NO engagement has been conducted, please state why.			
How was the engagement carried out?		What were the results from the engagement? Please list	
Focus Group	No		
Survey	Yes	9 Respondents in relation to Reddingmuirhead Hall identifying as users and local affected residents and committee members. 4 of these identified has having a protected characteristic that disadvantaged them.	
Display / Exhibitions	No		
User Panels	No		
Public Event	Yes	Braes High School event 10 January 2023, 7-9pm: Hall Committee Members were in attendance and commented on the information they had received from the Council. No other comments were made during the public meetings about this building.	

Printed: 24/01/2024 12:01 Page: 4 of 11

Other: please specify	Falkirk Council has been working with this committee during the year to consider a way forward for community ownership of this building. It is not an asset transfer as Falkirk Council do not own this building or have any responsibility for its upkeep. It will however be treated the same as the other buildings in the Strategic Property Review for resources, support and phasing.		
Has the proposal / policy/ project been reviewed / changed as a result of the engagement?		No	
Have the results of the engagement been fed back to the consultees?		Yes	
Is further engagement recommended?		Yes	

Printed: 24/01/2024 12:01 Page: 5 of 11

#### SECTION FIVE: ASSESSING THE IMPACT

**Equality Protected Characteristics:** 

What will the impact of implementing this proposal be on people who share characteristics protected by the Equality Act 2010 or are likely to be affected by the proposal / policy / project? This section allows you to consider other impacts, e.g. poverty, health inequalities, community justice, carers etc.

Protected Characteristic	Neutral Impact	Positive Impact	Negative Impact	Please provide evidence of the impact on this protected characteristic.
Age			✓	One respondent with this protected characteristic, however no impact information received from respondents.  No user group information provided by the Committee to asses other impacts.
Disability			✓	One respondent with this protected characteristic, however no impact information received from respondents.  No user group information provided by the Committee to asses other impacts.
Sex			<b>√</b>	One respondent with this protected characteristic, however no impact information received from respondents.  No user group information provided by the Committee to asses other impacts.
Ethnicity				Unknown, no impact information received from respondents. No user group information provided by the Committee to asses other impacts.
Religion / Belief / non-Belief				Unknown, no impact information received from respondents.  No user group information provided by the Committee to asses other impacts.
Sexual Orientation				Unknown, no impact information received from respondents.  No user group information provided by the Committee to asses other impacts.
Transgender				Unknown, no impact information received from respondents.  No user group information provided by the Committee to asses other impacts.
Pregnancy / Maternity				Unknown, no impact information received from respondents.  No user group information provided by the Committee to asses other impacts.
Marriage / Civil Partnership			✓	One respondent with this protected characteristic, however no impact information received from respondents.  No user group information provided by the Committee to asses other impacts.
Poverty				Unknown, no impact information received from respondents. No user group information provided by the Committee to asses other impacts.
Care Experienced				

Printed: 24/01/2024 12:01 Page: 6 of 11

Public Sector Equality Duty: Scottish Public Authorities must have 'due regard' to the need to eliminate unlawful discrimination, advance quality of opportunity and foster good relations. Scottish specific duties include:

Risk (Identify other risks associated with this change)

We do not have enough information to assess the impact. Further engagement required.

	Evidence of Due Regard
Eliminate Unlawful Discrimination (harassment, victimisation and other prohibited conduct):	Inclusive consultation and engagement to include protected characteristics groups and individuals
Advance Equality of Opportunity:	Inclusive consultation and engagement to include protected characteristics groups and individuals
Foster Good Relations (promoting understanding and reducing prejudice):	This hall provides a means where people with protected characteristics come together closure will have a negative impact.

Printed: 24/01/2024 12:01 Page: 7 of 11

SECTION SIX: PARTNERS / OTHER STAKEHOLDERS					
Which sectors are likely to have an interest in or be affected by the proposal / policy / project?		Describe the interest / affect.			
Business	No				
Councils	No				
Education Sector	No				
Fire No					
NHS	No				
Integration Joint Board No					
Police	No				
Third Sector	No				
Other(s): please list and describe the nature of Unknown as		no user group information provided by the Committee to asses other impacts.			
the relationship / impact.					

Printed: 24/01/2024 12:01 Page: 8 of 11

#### **SECTION SEVEN: ACTION PLANNING**

Mitigating Actions:

If you have identified impacts on protected characteristic groups in Section 5 please summarise these in the table below detailing the actions you are taking to mitigate or support this impact. If you are not taking any action to support or mitigate the impact you should complete the No Mitigating Actions section below instead.

Identified Impact	To Who	Action(s)	Lead Officer	and Review	Strategic Reference to Corporate Plan / Service Plan / Quality Outcomes

### **No Mitigating Actions**

Please explain why you do not need to take any action to mitigate or support the impact of your proposals.

No impact identified from the assessment. Respondents who identified as having a protected characteristic, did not provide impact information. Further engagement required to assess impact.

Are actions being reported to Members?	Yes
If yes when and how?	Strategic Property Review reported to Members in March 2023, and an updated report to Members in 2024.

Printed: 24/01/2024 12:01 Page: 9 of 11

SECTION EIGHT: ASSESSMENT OUTCOME						
Only one of follow	ring statements best matches your assessment of this	s proposal / pol	icy / project. Please	e select one and provide your reasons.		
No major change required		No				
The proposal has t characteristic grou	to be adjusted to reduce impact on protected ups	No				
Continue with the to protected chara	proposal but it is not possible to remove all the risk acteristic groups	Yes	Further user group information should be sought as this proposal progresses.			
Stop the proposal	as it is potentially in breach of equality legislation	No				
SECTION NINE: LEAD OFFICER SIGN OFF						
Lead Officer:						
Signature:	Crawford Bell		Date:	22/01/2024		

Printed: 24/01/2024 12:01 Page: 10 of 11

SECTION TEN: EPIA TASK GROUP ONLY								
OVERALL ASS				ted the use of data, appropriate engagement, identified mitigating actions as oppropriate review of actions to confidently demonstrate compliance with the requality duties?				
ASSESSMENT FINDINGS				The evidence showed that people completing survey had protected characteristics that would be				
If YES, use this box to highlight evidence in support of the assessment of the EPIA				negatively impacted -more information is needed to determine what this impact is.				
If NO, use this box to highlight actions needed to improve the EPIA								
Where adverse impact on diverse communities has been identified and it is intended to continue with the proposal / policy / project, has justification for continuing without making changes been made?				Yes	If YES, please describe: An asset transfer approach is being considered for this building, it is hoped that more information on the users of the hall will be available through this process and impact properly determined.			
LEVEL OF IMPACT: The EPIA Task Group has agreed the following level of impact on the protected characteristic groups highlighted within the EPIA								
LEVEL COMMENTS								
HIGH	Yes / No							
MEDIUM	Yes							
LOW	Yes / No							
SECTION ELEVEN: CHIEF OFFICER SIGN OFF								
Director / Head of Service:								
Signature: Karen Algie					Date	:	24/01/2024	

Printed: 24/01/2024 12:01 Page: 11 of 11